

## INFLUENCE OF PRE-SOWING MICROBIAL AND FUNGICIDES SEED TREATMENTS ON SEED QUALITY IN GROUNDNUT (*Arachis hypogaea* L.)

UNJIA, NIRAV N., \*VADDORIA, M. A. AND PATEL, N.B.

DEPARTMENT OF SEED SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY  
COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE  
JUNAGADH AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY  
JUNAGADH - 362 001, GUJARAT. INDIA

\*E. Mail: [mavaddoria@jau.in](mailto:mavaddoria@jau.in)

---

### ABSTRACT

*The present study was undertaken during three different seasons of year 2011 viz., summer, kharif and rabi, with a view to examine the effect of pre-sowing microbial and fungicides seed treatments on seed quality in groundnut cv. Gujarat Groundnut-20 (GG-20) under laboratory conditions. The seeds were first soaked in distilled water (hydro priming) for six hours followed by drying under shade. The biological strains viz., Rhizobiumleguminosarum, Pseudomonas fluorescens, Trichoderma viride, Trichoderma harzianum and chemical fungicides viz., Thirum, Vitavax, Carbendazim, Tebuconazole and Control (untreated seeds) were applied at the time of germination test. All the treatments significantly affected germination percentage, first count of germination, speed of germination, shoot length, root length, root fresh weight, shoot fresh weight, root dry weight, shoot dry weight, seedling length, seedling dry weight, vigour index length and vigour index mass during all the three seasons (summer, kharif, and rabi) as well as pooled over periods. The enhancing effect of seed inoculation was also noticed with Rhizobacteria for all the characters which might be attributed due to RNA and protein metabolism as enhanced by priming, improved N<sub>2</sub>-fixing and phosphate solubilizing capacity of bacteria as well as the ability of micro-organism to produce growth promoting substances. It could be concluded that seed priming could be used as an effective tool for invigouration of groundnut seeds for vigour enhancement.*

**KEY WORDS:** *Arachishypogaea, germination, priming, quality, seed treatment, vigour index*

### INTRODUCTION

The groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) is one of the most important oilseed crops, commercially popular due to its superior edible oil quality and protein in the meal. It is highly self-pollinated in nature (Knauff and Wynne, 1995). India accounts for about 40 per cent of the world area and 30 per cent of the world production of groundnut. In India, about 91 per cent of total groundnut area is confined to the states of Gujarat, Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, Maharashtra

and Orissa. The rest of the area and production is scattered mainly in the states of Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh and Punjab (Chopra, 2001). The average area under groundnut cultivation in India during 2012-13 was 5.00 million hectares with the production of 4.95 million tones and productivity of 990 kg/ha (USDA, 2013). Gujarat, Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu and Karnataka together account for 77 per cent of the area and almost 75 per cent of the

production of groundnut in India (USDA, 2013).

In Gujarat, the region of the Saurashtra considered to be the groundnut bowl of the country. The average area under groundnut cultivation in Gujarat during 2012 stood at 16.60 lakh hectares with production of 25.95 lakh tones and productivity of 1563 kg/ha(DOA, 2013). The average area under groundnut cultivation in Junagadh district during 2012 was 3.05 lakh hectares with production of 2.35 lakh tones and productivity of 770 kg/ha (DOA, 2013). Groundnut is cultivated in all the districts of Gujarat state, however, about 82 per cent areas is covering by Junagadh, Rajkot, Amreli and Surendranagar districts of Saurashtra region. Groundnut crop is grown as a rainfed during *kharif* season, but it is also taken during summer season, wherever the irrigation facilities are available. The crop is grown as monoculture in the Saurashtra region of Gujarat. Junagadh, Rajkot, Amreli, Jamnagar, Bhavnagar and Kutch districts of Gujarat State contribute about 78.52 per cent of total production of groundnut of Gujarat State(Anonymous, 2010). Unfavorable environmental condition is the major cause of poor plant stand establishment and low crop yield. However, rapid germination and good seedling growth could emerge and produce better roots which may results in better crop establishment and higher yield (Ashraf and Foolad, 2005). Pre-sowing priming improves seed performance, as the seed is brought to a stage where the metabolic processes are already initiated giving a head start over the unprimed seed. Priming also repairs any metabolic damage increased by the dry seed, including that of the nucleic acids, thus, fortifying the metabolic

machinery of the seed. Another beneficial effect of priming is the synchronization of the metabolism of all the seeds in lot, thus, ensuring uniform emergence and growth in the field. Further, bio-priming (priming with beneficial micro-organisms that can improve plant performance) on the seed is effective to control seed and soil borne pathogen at the time of germination. Therefore, seed priming is a viable and economic approach to enhance rapid and uniform emergence, high vigour and better yields in legumes, vegetables, flowering and field crops. Thus, the study was initiated to study the influence of pre-sowing microbial and fungicidal seed treatments on seed quality in groundnut cultivar Gujarat Groundnut-20 (GG-20) under laboratory conditions.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was undertaken at Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh during the year 2011 in three different seasons, summer, *kharif* and *rabi*. Seeds of groundnut cultivar Gujarat Goundnut-20 (GG-20) were soaked in distilled water for six hours and dried under shade immediately after soaking. The treatments used were: T<sub>1</sub> = Hydropriming (seed soaking in water for 6 hours and shade dried), T<sub>2</sub> = *Rhizobium leguminosarum*(5g/kg), T<sub>3</sub> = *Pseudomonas fluorescens*(5g/kg), T<sub>4</sub> = *Trichoderma viride*(5g/kg), T<sub>5</sub> = *Trichoderma harzianum*(5g/kg), T<sub>6</sub> = Thirum (3g/kg), T<sub>7</sub> = Vitavax (3g/kg), T<sub>8</sub> = Carbendazim (3g/kg), T<sub>9</sub> = Tebuconazole (2g/kg) and T<sub>10</sub> = Control (Untreated dry seed). Respective treatments were given at the time of germination test.

Germination test was conducted by using between paper (towel paper) methods. Hundred seeds with four replications were placed on

moist towel paper and rolled properly, tied with rubber band and kept in seed germinator at constant temperature 25°C with relative humidity 80 per cent. Final germination count was taken on 8<sup>th</sup> day (ISTA, 1993), which was reported as germination percentage. Speed of germination was also counted as per Maguire (1962). Vigour index I and II was calculated as per standard procedure of Abdul-Baki and Anderson (1973). Fresh and dry seedling weight was also recorded. The data on different characters was subjected to statistical analysis of variance as per Completely Randomized Design (CRD) to find out the best treatment for various traits as per Panse and Sukhatme (1985).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The seed priming is a technique which involves uptake of water by the seed followed by drying to initiate the early events of germination up to point of radical emergence. The benefits of seed priming includes rapid, uniform and increased germination, improved seedling vigour and growth under a broad range of environments resulting in better stand establishment and alleviation of phytochrome-induced dormancy in some crops. In the present investigation, the microbial and fungicides seed treatments were statistically superior to control for germination percentage, but none of the fungicidal treatments was superior to microbial treatments for the production of more vigorous seedlings. There was significant effect of pre-sowing microbial and fungicides seed treatments on germination percentage (Table 2). Seeds treated with *Rhizobium leguminosarum*(T<sub>2</sub>) recorded maximum germination (98.45%) followed by *Pseudomonas fluorescens*(T<sub>3</sub>) (98.42%). This may be due to increased synthesis of hormones like gibberellins, which would have

triggered the activity of specific enzymes that promoted early germination such as amylase, which have brought an increase in availability of starch assimilations. These results are in line with the previously reported findings by Gholamiet *al.* (2009) in maize, Anjorinet *al.* (2010) in groundnut, Khalequzaman and Hossain (2008) in bush bean, Hosseinet *al.* (2011) in cowpea, Mohammad and Hossain (2003) and Suramwaret *al.* (2014) in mungbean. Increase in the germination percentage could be due to RNA and protein metabolism as enhanced by priming (Khan *et al.* (2003) in cockscomb and Moeinzadehet *al.*(2010) in sunflower. The germination percentage was decreased with Tebuconazole (T<sub>9</sub>) and Control (T<sub>10</sub>).

There was also significant effect of different seed treatments on the first count of seed germination (Table 2). The maximum first count of seed germination was observed in *Rhizobium leguminosarum*(T<sub>2</sub>= 89.58%) followed by *Pseudomonas fluorescens*(T<sub>3</sub>= 89.46%) as compared to Tebuconazole (T<sub>9</sub>) and Control (T<sub>10</sub>). Likewise, different seed treatments affected significantly the speed of germination (Table 2). This indicated that microbial seed treatment had positive effect on speed of germination process. The highest speed of germination (99.04) was observed in *Rhizobium leguminosarum*(T<sub>2</sub>), as it was proved that germination ability was raised by plant growth bacteria such as *Rhizobium*. Similar findings were also reported earlier by Gholamiet *al.* (2009) in maize, Hosseinet *al.*(2011) in cowpea, Moeinzadehet *al.* (2010) in sunflower and Suramwaret *al.* (2014) in mungbean. Maximum shoot and root length (5.04 cm and 14.69 cm) were achieved with *Rhizobium*

*leguminosarum*, which was the highest among all the treatments. The minimum shoot and root length (1.39 cm and 5.24 cm) were noticed with Tebuconazole (Table 2). The maximum shoot and root length observed in *Rhizobium leguminosarum* seed treatment may be due to *Rhizobium* strain which resulted in to the maximum reduction of seed and root rot as reported by Khalequzaman and Hossain (2008) in bush bean; Vijayalakshmi *et al.* (2011), Suramwaret *et al.* (2014) in mungbean and Basetet *et al.* (2012) in rice.

In the present study, the effect of microbial seed treatment in groundnut for shoot and root fresh weight was determined and presented in Table 2. The application of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* seed treatment produced the highest fresh shoot (4078 mg) and fresh root (10595 mg) weight. These findings are akin with the finding reported earlier by Basetet *et al.* (2012) in rice. There was significant impact of different seed treatments on shoot and root dry weight of groundnut seedling as shown in Table 2. The data showed that all the priming treatments increased the shoot dry weight as well as root dry weight as compared to Tebuconazole (T<sub>9</sub>) followed by control (T<sub>10</sub>). The highest shoot dry weight (3642 mg) was observed in *Rhizobium leguminosarum*, while the highest root dry weight (1455 mg) was observed with *Rhizobium leguminosarum* and hydropriming. Root dry weight was augmented by *Rhizobium* is logical in the present study, because the application of plant growth promoting bacteria can result in larger root area and longer roots. These findings are in accordance with the results of Mokhtaret *et al.* (2011) in fababean and Parkash and Aggarwal (2011) in *Eucalyptus saligna*. They also reported

that it may be due to increased rate of phosphorus uptake and its inflow in roots. *Rhizobium leguminosarum* seed treatment maintained the highest shoot dry weight because of improved N<sub>2</sub>-fixing and phosphate solubilizing capacity of bacteria as well as ability of these microorganisms to produce growth promoting substances (Gholamiet *et al.*, 2009) in maize.

The application of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* in the form of seed treatment resulted in increased seedling length and seedling dry weight (Table 2). The maximum seedling length (18.28 cm) and seedling dry weight (3542 mg) was reported with *Rhizobium leguminosarum* (T<sub>2</sub>). The beneficial effect of *Rhizobium* inoculation on shoot dry weight was also reported by Hosseinet *et al.* (2011) in cowpea, Basetet *et al.* (2012) in rice, Parkash and Aggarwal (2011) in *Eucalyptus saligna*. and Suramwaret *et al.* (2014) in mungbean. Significantly the highest and the lowest vigour index length were observed with *Rhizobium leguminosarum* (1800.12) and control (500.40), respectively. Significantly the highest vigour index mass (34700) was recorded by *Rhizobium leguminosarum*, which was at par with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (33375), Hydropriming (32913), *Trichoderma viride* (32876) and Thirum (32868). The beneficial effect of *Rhizobium* strain seed treatment on vigour index length may be because of better synthesis of auxins, as also reported by Gholamiet *et al.* (2009) in maize. *Rhizobium leguminosarum* seed treatment recorded the maximum vigour index mass, while *Pseudomonas fluorescens* seed treatment provided well establishment and adherence of bacteria to seed and enhanced seed factors such as vigour index length which in conformity with

results reported by Moeinzadehet *al.* (2010) in sunflower; Hosseinet *al.* (2011) in cowpea and Suramwaret *al.* (2014) in mungbean.

### CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that seed priming is very effective tool for seed invigouration in groundnut. The microbial seed treatments were superior to fungicidal treatments for most of the traits studied. The microbial seed treatment *Rhizobium leguminosarum* was found to be superior over all the treatments in respect of seed germination, seed vigour and other seed quality parameter.

### REFERENCES

- Abdul-Baki, A. A., and Anderson, J. D. (1973). Vigour determination in soybean by multiple criteria. *Crop Sci.*, **13**: 630-637.
- Anjorin, T.; Samuel and Salako, A. E. (2010). Germinability and seedling vigour of some arable crops treated with Albitbioregulator and superhormai fungicide. *African J. Micro. Res.*, **4**(19): 1928-1934.
- Anonymous, (2010). District Wise Area, Production and Yield of Important Food and Non Food Crop in Gujarat State. Directorate of Agriculture, Government of Gujarat State, Gandhinagar.
- Ashraf, M. and Foolad, M. R. (2005). Pre-sowing seed treatment - A shotgun approach to improve germination, plant growth and yield under saline conditions. *Adv. Agron.*, **88**: 223-276.
- Baset, M. A.; Shamsuddin, Z. H. and Maziah, M. (2012). Effects of *rhizobia* and plant growth promoting bacteria inoculation on germination and seedling vigour of lowland rice. *African J. Biotech.*, **11**(16): 3758-3765.
- Chopra, V. L. (2001). Breeding Field Crops. Oxford & IBH Publishing Co., New Delhi. pp. 471-475.
- DOA (2013). Director of Agriculture, Government of Gujarat state, Gandhinagar.
- Gholami, A.; Shahsavani, S. and Nezarat, S. (2009). The effect of plant growth promoting *rhizobacteria* (PGPR) on germination, seedling growth and yield of maize. *International J. Bio. Life Sci.*, **5**(1): 35-40.
- Hosseini, A. F.; Payam, M. and Kasra, M. (2011). Effect of thermo-priming on germination of cowpea (*Vignasinensis* L.). *Adv. Environ. Biol.*, **5**(2): 1668-1673.
- ISTA. (1993). International Rules for Seed Testing. *Seed Sci. Technol.*, **21**: 1288.
- Hosseini, A. F.; Payam, M. and Kasra, M. (2011). Effect of thermopriming on germination of cowpea (*Vignasinensis* L.). *Adv. Environ. Biology*, **5**(2): 1668-1673.
- Khalequzaman, K. M. and Hossain, I. (2008). Effect of *rhizobium* strains and biofertilizers on root rot and yield of bush bean in *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* infested soil. *J. Bio. Sci.*, **16**: 73-78.
- Khan, M.; Qasim, M.; Javed Iqbal, M.; Afzal Naeem and Abbas, M. (2003). Effect of seed humidification on germinability, vigor and leakage in cockscomb (*Celosia argentea* Var. *Cristata* L.). *International J. Agri. & Biol.*, **5**(4): 499-503.

- 
- Knauff, D. A. and Wynne, J. C. (1995). Peanut breeding and genetics. *Adv. Agron.*, **55**: 393-445.
- Maguire, J.D. (1962). Speed of germination-aid in selection and evaluation for seedling emergence and vigour. *Crop Sci.*, **2**: 176-177.
- Moeinzadeh, A.; Sharif-Zadeh, F.; Ahmadzadeh, M. and Heidari, T. F. (2010). Biopriming of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) seed with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* for improvement of seed invigoration and seedling growth. *Australian J. Crop Sci.*, **4**(7): 564-570.
- Mohammad, D. and Hossain (2003). Seed treatment with biofertilizer in controlling foot and root rot in mungbean. *Pak. J. Pl. Patho.*, **2**(2): 91-96.
- Panse, V. G. and Sukhatme, P. V. (1985). Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers (Second Edition), Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi.
- Parkash, V. and Aggarwal, A. (2011). Interaction of VAM fungi with *Trichoderma viride* and *Rhizobium* species on establishment and growth of *Eucalyptus saligna* Sm. *E-Int. Scien. Res. J.*, **3**(3): 200-209.
- Suramwar, Supriya, P., Vaddoria, M.A., Mehta, D.R. and Patel, N.B. (2014). Influence of pre-sowing microbial and fungicides seed treatments on seed quality in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilzeck). *AGRES-An International e-J.* **3**(1) : 97-104.
- USDA (2013). National Nutrient Data Base, 2013.
- Vijayalakshmi, K. S.; Ayyathurai, V.; Vaikuntavasan P. and Rethinasamy, V. (2011). *Burkholderia* sp. strain TNAU-1 for biological control of root rot in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) caused by *Macrophomina phaseolina*. *J. Pl. Prot. Res.*, **51**(3): 273-278.

**Table 1: Effect of pre-sowing microbial and fungicidal seed treatments on subsequent Seed quality parameters in groundnut cv. GG-20**

| Treatment                                        | Germination Percentage | First Count | Speed of Germination Percentage | Shoot Length of Seedlings | Root Length of Seedling | Shoot Fresh Weight | Root Fresh Weight |
|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Hydropriming (T <sub>1</sub> )                   | 95.42                  | 87.65       | 97.61                           | 3.13                      | 12.32                   | 9900               | 3683              |
| <i>Rhizobium leguminosarum</i> (T <sub>2</sub> ) | 98.45                  | 89.58       | 99.04                           | 5.04                      | 14.69                   | 10595              | 4078              |
| <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> (T <sub>3</sub> ) | 98.42                  | 89.46       | 98.82                           | 4.54                      | 13.97                   | 10044              | 3918              |
| <i>Trichodermaviride</i> (T <sub>4</sub> )       | 95.28                  | 87.35       | 96.98                           | 4.22                      | 13.60                   | 9625               | 3767              |
| <i>Trichodermaharzianum</i> (T <sub>5</sub> )    | 95.67                  | 87.75       | 97.17                           | 4.40                      | 13.05                   | 9550               | 3450              |
| Thiuram(T <sub>6</sub> )                         | 95.92                  | 87.08       | 97.21                           | 4.28                      | 11.40                   | 9858               | 3700              |
| Vitavax(T <sub>7</sub> )                         | 95.37                  | 86.25       | 96.05                           | 3.91                      | 11.43                   | 9521               | 3421              |
| Carbendazim(T <sub>8</sub> )                     | 95.35                  | 88.18       | 97.61                           | 4.10                      | 11.34                   | 9817               | 3358              |
| Tebuconazole(T <sub>9</sub> )                    | 82.14                  | 71.11       | 80.12                           | 1.39                      | 5.24                    | 2608               | 2800              |
| Control (T <sub>10</sub> )                       | 82.36                  | 77.66       | 87.68                           | 2.13                      | 9.56                    | 1933               | 2998              |
| S.Em.±                                           | 1.08                   | 1.92        | 1.82                            | 0.39                      | 0.48                    | 118.64             | 132.09            |
| C.D. at 5 %                                      | 3.21                   | 5.72        | 5.40                            | 1.15                      | 1.43                    | 352.52             | 392.49            |
| C.V. %                                           | 0.67                   | 1.05        | 1.15                            | 4.70                      | 4.51                    | 3.30               | 4.33              |
| <b>Season</b>                                    |                        |             |                                 |                           |                         |                    |                   |
| S.Em.±                                           | 0.59                   | 1.05        | 0.99                            | 0.21                      | 0.26                    | 64.98              | 72.35             |
| C.D. at 5 %                                      | 1.76                   | NS          | NS                              | 0.63                      | NS                      | NS                 | NS                |
| <b>S x T</b>                                     |                        |             |                                 |                           |                         |                    |                   |
| S.Em.±                                           | 0.31                   | 0.45        | 0.55                            | 0.09                      | 0.27                    | 137.54             | 76.23             |
| C.D. at 5 %                                      | 0.88                   | 1.26        | 1.54                            | 0.25                      | 0.74                    | 387.07             | 214.52            |

Contd.....

**Table 1:Contd....**

| <b>Treatment</b>                                 | <b>Shoot<br/>Dry<br/>Weight</b> | <b>Root Dry<br/>Weight</b> | <b>Seedling<br/>Length</b> | <b>Seedling<br/>Dry<br/>Weight</b> | <b>Vigour<br/>Index<br/>Length</b> | <b>Vigour<br/>Index<br/>Mass</b> |
|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Hydropriming (T <sub>1</sub> )                   | 2917                            | 1455                       | 15.70                      | 3450                               | 1497.72                            | 32913                            |
| <i>Rhizobium leguminosarum</i> (T <sub>2</sub> ) | 3642                            | 1432                       | 18.28                      | 3542                               | 1800.12                            | 34700                            |
| <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> (T <sub>3</sub> ) | 3500                            | 1380                       | 16.82                      | 3392                               | 1655.46                            | 33375                            |
| <i>Trichoderma viride</i> (T <sub>4</sub> )      | 3556                            | 1358                       | 15.25                      | 3433                               | 1453.58                            | 32876                            |
| <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> (T <sub>5</sub> )   | 3183                            | 1372                       | 14.83                      | 2525                               | 1421.97                            | 24159                            |
| Thirum(T <sub>6</sub> )                          | 3292                            | 1337                       | 14.98                      | 3425                               | 1437.48                            | 32868                            |
| Vitavax(T <sub>7</sub> )                         | 2075                            | 1339                       | 14.92                      | 2600                               | 1425.67                            | 24774                            |
| Carbendazim(T <sub>8</sub> )                     | 2475                            | 1378                       | 14.60                      | 2580                               | 1392.42                            | 24597                            |
| Tebuconazole(T <sub>9</sub> )                    | 1592                            | 370                        | 13.49                      | 2175                               | 1105.42                            | 17772                            |
| Control (T <sub>10</sub> )                       | 538                             | 318                        | 6.08                       | 2348                               | 500.40                             | 19319                            |
| S.Em.±                                           | 259.75                          | 43.92                      | 0.88                       | 87.89                              | 76.39                              | 629.31                           |
| C.D. at 5 %                                      | 771.77                          | 130.49                     | 2.62                       | 261.15                             | 226.98                             | 1869.84                          |
| C.V. %                                           | 4.40                            | 3.87                       | 4.13                       | 5.92                               | 4.08                               | 5.56                             |
| <b>Season</b>                                    |                                 |                            |                            |                                    |                                    |                                  |
| S.Em.±                                           | 142.27                          | 24.05                      | 0.48                       | 48.14                              | 41.84                              | 344.68                           |
| C.D. at 5 %                                      | NS                              | 71.47                      | 1.44                       | NS                                 | 124.32                             | NS                               |
| <b>S x T</b>                                     |                                 |                            |                            |                                    |                                    |                                  |
| S.Em.±                                           | 58.95                           | 22.74                      | 0.30                       | 87.20                              | 28.14                              | 771.53                           |
| C.D. at 5 %                                      | 165.90                          | 63.99                      | 0.85                       | 245.40                             | 79.20                              | 2171.30                          |

[MS received: August 12,2014]

[MS accepted: August 26, 2014]