

IDENTIFICATION OF BEST RESTORER LINES SUITABLE TO AEROBIC CULTIVATION THROUGH SSR MARKERS IN RICE (*Oryza sativa* L.)

¹MADHUKAR, P.; ²SENGUTTUVEL, P.; ³RAJU CH. S. AND ⁴VANISREE, S.

DEPARTMENT OF GENETICS AND PLANT BREEDING
COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE
PROF. JAYASHANKAR TELANGANA STATE AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY
HYDERABAD – 500 030, TELANGANA, INDIA

EMAIL: madhu0743@gmail.com

¹Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of agriculture, PJTSAU, Hyderabad – 500 030

²Crop Improvement Section, ICAR-Indian Institute of Rice Research, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad – 500 030

³Rice Research Station, Agriculture Research Institute, PJTSAU, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad – 500 030

⁴Institute of Biotechnology, College of Agriculture, PJTSAU, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad – 500 030

ABSTRACT

Identification of parental lines is crucial for developing specific hybrids with more fertility restoration leads to higher yields. Conventionally, the process of screening for fertility restoration through test cross progeny evaluation is a tedious job. Total of 125 different ecology-specific Indian rice varieties were screened for the presence of fertility restorer genes, by earlier reported SSR markers RM10313 and RM6100 tightly linked to Rf3 and Rf4, respectively. Out of one hundred twenty five genotypes screened using SSR RM6100, fifty three lines/genotypes showed the presence of Rf4 by amplifying 175-bp size fragment and seventy two lines showed the absence of Rf4 by amplifying 165-bp size fragment compared with KMR3 restorer. Based on these results, it was confirmed that out of one hundred twenty five genotypes, fifty three are restorers, seventy two are non- restorers. Similarly, Out of 125 genotypes were also screened along with known restorer KMR3 using RM 10313 marker and in that, seventy six lines showed the presence of Rf3 by amplifying 215- bp size fragment, forty two showed the absence by amplifying 200-bp product size and seven showed the heterozygous amplification pattern. Based on molecular screening results, it could be know that out of one hundred twenty five lines screened, seventy six are restorers, forty two are non- restorers and seven lines may be partial restorers by comparing with known restorer KMR3R. Overall, it was observed that among 125 genotypes 38 lines were reported as high fertility restoring ability. So, these 38 lines are used for crossing programme to develop the high yielding rice hybrids suitable for aerobic cultivation.

KEY WORDS: Fertility restoration, Molecular markers, Rice, Rf3, Rf4, RM10313, RM6100

INTRODUCTION

The tropical region of Asia or Monsoon Asia is the largest rice-producing area. The countries of this region together produce 90 per cent of the global output of rice. Globally rice is cultivating around 154

million ha. with 600 metric tonnes production and 3.9 tonnes/ha. productivity. In the present scenario of population explosion, global food production has to be increased by over 40 per cent from the present. In India, the total area under

irrigated rice is about 22.00 million hectares, which accounts about 49.5 per cent of the total area under rice crop in the country and total area under upland rainfed rice in the country is about 6.00 million hectares, which accounts 13.5 per cent of the total area under rice crop in the country. In India, low land rice area is about 14.4 million hectares, which accounts 32.4 per cent of the total area under rice crop in the country.

Production is variable because of the lack of technology used in rice production. Production declined during 2009-10 due to severe drought, but it reached to 95.98 m tonnes in 2010-11 and further, the highest record of 105.31 million tonnes in 2011-12. The target becomes even steeper taking into account the fact that the challenge has to be met under ever-decreasing resources and also without disturbing the environmental balance. Genetic enhancement of the food crops targeted for better yield seems to be the most viable option. Indian rice production largely depends on monsoon rains and only 59 per cent rice area has assured irrigation. Hybrid rice technology is one of such options for increasing rice production in order to achieve global food security. It has been reported that hybrid rice yields 15-20 per cent higher than high yielding varieties in similar growth condition (Virmani *et al.*, 2003).

In India, hybrid rice is primarily developed using a three-line system. Male sterility is restored by the nuclear gene(s) known as restorer of fertility (*Rf*), which have the ability to suppress/modify the male sterilizing effect leading to production of fertile pollen. The molecular mechanism of fertility restoration of WA cytoplasm was experimentally proved recently for the *Rf4* gene (Kazama and Toriyama, 2014; Tang *et al.*, 2014). Identification of new fertility restorer genes in rice genotypes will help in development of superior restorer lines. Moreover, searching for restorer genes is

desirable as phenotyping is very time consuming and requires determination of spikelet sterility in test cross progeny (Yao *et al.*, 1997; Komori *et al.*, 2003; Ahmadikhah and Karlov, 2006; Ahmadikhah *et al.*, 2007). Additionally, partial restorer lines that have good agronomic background can be improved by transfer of fertility restorer genes without involving a sterile cytoplasm or extensive test crossing with cytoplasmic male sterile (CMS) lines. Revathi *et al.* (2013) have evaluated the efficiency of tightly linked markers of *Rf3* and *Rf4* genes for fertility restoration in which 85-92 per cent efficiency was identified. However, most of the genetic studies of fertility restoration for the WA-CMS system have suggested that fertility restoration is governed by two genes namely *Rf4* and *Rf3* have been mapped to chromosomes 10 and 1, respectively (Yao *et al.*, 1997; Zhang *et al.*, 1997; Ahmadikhah and Karlov, 2006; Ahmadikhah and Alavi, 2009).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

During *kharif* 2015, the 125 lines were grown in the crossing block under irrigated conditions and to collect leaf samples for molecular study at Rice Research Centre, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad. The leaf samples were collected from 15-20 days old seedlings during early hours (8.00 am to 9.00 am) from 125 lines available in the crossing blocks and stored at -20°C-DNA isolation. The entire laboratory work was accomplished in Hybrid Rice wing, Crop Improvement Section, Indian Institute of Rice Research, Hyderabad.

Molecular analysis

DNA was isolated from young leaves by CTAB method reported by Dellaporta *et al.* (1983). With respect to the SSR markers, polymerase chain reaction was carried out using 15-20 ng of template DNA, 250 µM of dNTPs (Eppendorf, USA), 5 pmoles of each F and R primer, 1 unit of

Taq DNA polymerase (Bangalore Genei, India), 1X PCR reaction buffer (Bangalore Genei, India) in a total volume of 10 µl. The cycling conditions were an initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min followed by 35 cycles of PCR amplification under the following parameters: 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 55°C, and 1 min at 72°C, followed by a final extension at 72°C for 7 min. The sequences for the SSR primers are presented in (Table 1). Amplified PCR products were resolved in 3 per cent agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide and visualized under UV light using the Alpha Imager® 1220 gel documentation system (Alpha Innotech Corporation San Leandro, CA, USA).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The use of molecular markers linked to *Rf* genes can enhance the selection efficiency, save time and avoid the complications associated with phenotype-based screening. SSR marker RM6100 reported by Singh *et al.* (2005), on the long arm of chromosome 10, linked with the *Rf4* gene at distance of 1.2cM and RM10313 reported by Neeraja (2008), on the short arm chromosome 1, linked with *Rf3* gene at a distance of 4.2 cM have been utilized to screen 125 genotypes for the identification of best restorers suitable under aerobic cultivation.

The one hundred twenty five genotypes and one known restorer KMR3R have been screened for the presence of fertility restorer gene *Rf4* (Table 2) located on chromosome 10, with the help of SSR marker RM6100 reported by Singh *et al.* (2005). Out of one hundred twenty five genotypes screened, fifty three lines/genotypes showed the presence of *Rf4* by amplifying 175-bp size fragment and seventy two lines showed the absence of *Rf4* by amplifying 165-bp size fragment compared with KMR3 restorer. Based on these results, it was confirmed that out of one hundred twenty five genotypes, fifty

three are restorers, seventy two are non-restorers.

In same way, all these genotypes were screened with the help of SSR marker RM10313 linked to *Rf3* gene reported by Neeraja (2008). Out of 125 genotypes were also screened along with known restorer KMR3 and in that, seventy six lines showed the presence of *Rf3* by amplifying 215- bp size fragment, forty two showed the absence by amplifying 200-bp product size and seven showed the heterozygous amplification pattern. Based on molecular screening results, it could be know that out of one hundred twenty five lines screened, seventy six are restorers, forty two are non-restorers and seven lines may be partial restorers by comparing with known restorer KMR3R.

Similar study was conducted to screen one hundred breeding lines by Singh *et al.* (2014) utilizing the same SSR markers RM6100 and RM 10313 linked to *Rf4* and *Rf3*, respectively and identified that 61 lines to carry both *Rf3* and *Rf4* genes and these lines can be utilized in hybrid rice breeding as restorers. Revathi *et al.* (2013) stated that *Rf4* and *Rf3* genes showed 85 and 81 percentage efficiency, respectively in identifying restorer lines. Therefore, these markers are useful tool for evaluating large number of breeding lines to know about their fertility restoration in a short period of time without generating and evaluating large number of test crosses. The potential restorers may be identified with hundred percentage efficiency based on molecular screening itself, if candidate gene based markers are developed and validated for both *Rf4* and *Rf3* genes. Similar study was conducted by Cai *et al.* (2013), Singh *et al.* (2014) and Thippeswamy *et al.* (2014).

CONCLUSION

In comparison with both the *Rf3* and *Rf4* markers with 125 germplasm lines retreated that only 38 germplasm lines had

both *Rf3/Rf4* markers. Thus, these 38 germplasm lines were supposed to have best restoring ability, as such could be effectively utilized in development of hybrids in rice.

REFERENCES

- Ahmadikhah, A. and Alavi, M. (2009). A cold inducible modifier QTL affecting fertility restoration of WA CMS in rice. *Int. J. Genet. Mole. Biol.*, **1**(5): 89-93.
- Ahmadikhah, A. and Karlov, G. I. (2006) Molecular mapping of the fertility restoration gene *Rf4* for WA-cytoplasmic male sterility in rice. *Plant Breed.*, **125**: 363-367.
- Ahmadikhah, A.; Karlov, G. I.; Nematzadeh, G. H. and Ghasemi, B. K. (2007). Inheritance of the fertility restoration and genotyping of rice lines at the restoring fertility (*Rf*) loci using molecular markers. *Int. J. Plant Prod.*, **1**: 13-21.
- Cai, J.; Liao, Q. P.; Dai, Z. J.; Zhu, H. T.; Zeng, R. Z.; Zhang, Z. M. and Zhang, G. Q. (2013). Allelic differentiations and effects of the *Rf3* and *Rf4* genes on fertility restoration in rice with wild abortive cytoplasmic male sterility. *Biologia Plantarum*, **57**(2): 274-280.
- Dellaporta, R. P.; Wood, J. and Hicks, J. D. (1983). A plant DNA mini preparation: version II. *Plant Mole. Biol. Reports*, **1**: 19-21.
- Kazama, T. and Toriyama, K. (2014). A fertility restorer gene, *Rf4*, widely used for hybrid rice breeding encodes a penta tricopeptide repeat protein. *Rice*, **7**: 28.
- Komori, T.; Yamamoto, T.; Takemori, N.; Kashiwara, M.; Matsushima, H. and Nitta, N. (2003). Fine genetic mapping of the nuclear gene, *Rf1*, that restores the BT type cytoplasmic male sterility in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) by PCR-based markers. *Euphytica*, **129**: 241-247.
- Neeraja, C. N. (2008). Restorer fertility gene (*Rf3* & *Rf4*) reported marker RM10313. *DRR Annual Report*, 2008-2009.
- Revathi P.; Medoju, P.; Singh, A. K.; Sundaram, R. M.; Raju, S.; Senguttuvel, P.; Kempa raju, K. B.; Hariprasad, A. S.; Ramesha, M. S.; Neeraja, C. N.; Rani, N. Shobha and Viraktamath, B. C. (2013). Efficiency of molecular markers in identifying fertility restoration trait of WA-CMS system in rice. *Indian J. Genet.*, **73**(1): 89-93.
- Singh, A. K.; Mahapatra, T.; Prabhu, K. V.; Singh, V. P.; Zaman, F. U.; Mishra, G. P.; Nandakumar, N.; Joseph, M.; Gopalakrishnan, S.; Aparajita, G.; Tyagi, N. K.; Prakash, P.; Sharma, R. K.; Shab, U. S. and Singh, S. K. (2005). Application of molecular markers in rice breeding: progress at IARI. In: Advances in marker assisted selection workshop. Trainee's manual, Handouts and references.
- Singh, A. K.; Revathi, P.; Pavani, M.; Sundaram, R. M.; Senguttuvel, P and Kemparaju, K. B. 2014. Molecular screening for fertility restorer genes *Rf3* and *Rf4* of WA-CMS and evaluation of F₁ hybrids in rice (*O. sativa* L.). *J. Rice Res.*, **7**(1 & 2): 25-35.
- Tang, H.; Loo, D.; Zhou, D.; Zhang, Q.; Tian, D.; Zheng, X.; Chen, L. and Liu, Y. G. (2014). The rice restorer *Rf4* for wild-abortive cytoplasmic male sterility encodes a mitochondrial-localized PPR protein that functions in reduction of WA352 transcripts. *Mol. Plant*, **7**: 1497-1500.

- Thippeswamy, S.; Chandramohan, Y. and Madhavilatha, B. (2014). Identification of gall midge resistant parental lines and validation of fertility restoration linked markers for hybrid rice technology. *Ele. J. Pl. Breed.*, 5(3): 415-427.
- Virmani S. S. (2003). Advances in hybrid rice research and development in the tropics. In: Virmani S. S., C. X. Mao. and B. Hardy., Editors. Hybrid rice for food security, poverty alleviation and environmental protection. *Proceedings of the 4th International Symposium on Hybrid Rice, 14-17 May, 2002, Hanoi, Vietnam Los Banos (Philippines): International Rice Research Institute. pp 2-20.*
- Yao, F. Y.; Xu, C. G.; Yu, S. B.; Li, J. X.; Gao, Y. J.; Li, X. H. and Zhang, Q. (1997). Mapping and genetic analysis of two fertility restorer loci in the wild abortive cytoplasmic male sterility system of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Euphytica*, **98**: 183.
- Zhang, Q.; Bharaj, T. S.; Virmani, S. S. and Huang, H. (1997). Mapping of the *Rf3* nuclear fertility restoring gene for WA cytoplasmic male sterility in rice using RAPD and RFLP markers. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, **94**: 27-33.

Table 1: Primer sequence

Name of the Primer	Gene Tagged	Primer Sequence (5'- 3')	AT (°C)
RM 6100	<i>Rf4</i>	F:TTCCCTGCAAGATTCTAGCTACACC R:TGTTCGTCGACCAAGAACTCAGG	55
RM 10313	<i>Rf3</i>	F: ACTTACACAAGGCCGGGAAAGG R: TGGTAGTGGTAACTCTACCGATGG	55

Table 2: Screening results of *Rf4* and *Rf3*

Sr. No.	Genotype	RM6100	RM10313	<i>Rf4</i> and <i>Rf3</i>	Sr. No.	Genotype	RM6100	RM10313	<i>Rf4</i> and <i>Rf3</i>
1	RNR-21240	No	<i>Rf3</i>	<i>Rf3</i>	29	RNR-17497	No	No	No
2	RNR-11718	No	<i>Rf3</i>	<i>Rf3</i>	30	MAS-29	No	No	No
3	RNR-21252	<i>Rf4</i>	<i>Rf3</i>	<i>Rf3/Rf4</i>	31	JGL-20171	No	No	No
4	RNR-17445	<i>Rf4</i>	<i>Rf3</i>	<i>Rf3/Rf4</i>	32	JGL-17004	<i>Rf4</i>	No	<i>Rf4</i>
5	RNR-21221	No	<i>Rf3</i>	<i>Rf3</i>	33	JGL-1798	No	No	No
6	RNR-21225	No	No	No	34	JGL-1118	No	No	No
7	RNR-21233	No	<i>Rf3</i>	<i>Rf3</i>	35	IRTON-7	No	No	No
8	RNR-19405	No	<i>Rf3</i>	<i>Rf3</i>	36	IIRON-57	<i>Rf4</i>	No	<i>Rf4</i>
9	RNR-19397	No	<i>Rf3</i>	<i>Rf3</i>	37	IURON-2	No	No	No
10	RNR-21280	<i>Rf4</i>	<i>Rf3</i>	<i>Rf3/Rf4</i>	38	IURON-5	No	No	No
11	RNR-19399	No	<i>Rf3</i>	<i>Rf3</i>	39	IURON-6	No	No	No
12	RNR-21271	No	<i>Rf3</i>	<i>Rf3</i>	40	IURON-8	No	No	No
13	RNR-21268	No	<i>Rf3</i>	<i>Rf3</i>	41	IURON-14	No	No	No
14	RNR-17368	<i>Rf4</i>	<i>Rf3</i>	<i>Rf3/Rf4</i>	42	IURON-15	No	No	No
15	RNR-17422	No	<i>Rf3</i>	<i>Rf3</i>	43	IURON-33	No	No	No
16	RNR-21304	<i>Rf4</i>	<i>Rf3</i>	<i>Rf3/Rf4</i>	44	IURON-38	No	No	No
17	RNR-19410	No	<i>Rf3</i>	<i>Rf3</i>	45	IURON-39	No	No	No
18	RNR19412	No	<i>Rf3</i>	<i>Rf3</i>	46	CSR-23	<i>Rf4</i>	No	<i>Rf4</i>
19	RNR-19411	No	<i>Rf3</i>	<i>Rf3</i>	47	CSR-27	<i>Rf4</i>	No	<i>Rf4</i>
20	RNR-21245	No	<i>Rf3</i>	<i>Rf3</i>	48	NLR-3349	No	No	No
21	MTU-1075	<i>Rf4</i>	<i>Rf3</i>	<i>Rf3/Rf4</i>	49	NLR-3242	No	No	No
22	Surekha	No	<i>Rf3</i>	<i>Rf3</i>	50	NLR-3353	No	No	No
23	Rajendra	No	<i>Rf3</i>	<i>Rf3</i>	51	KNM-605	No	No	No
24	MTU-1001	<i>Rf4</i>	<i>Rf3</i>	<i>Rf3/Rf4</i>	52	KNM-604	No	No	No
25	Bhadrahal	No	<i>Rf3</i>	<i>Rf3</i>	53	SKAU-389	<i>Rf4</i>	<i>Rf3</i>	<i>Rf3/Rf4</i>
26	Erramallelu	No	No	NO	54	L-493	<i>Rf4</i>	<i>Rf3</i>	<i>Rf3/Rf4</i>
27	IR-64	No	No	No	55	GSR-2	<i>Rf4</i>	<i>Rf3</i>	<i>Rf3/Rf4</i>
28	Sughandhamathi	<i>Rf4</i>	No	<i>Rf4</i>	56	GSR-22	No	<i>Rf3</i>	<i>Rf3</i>

Table 2 contd....

Table 2 contd....

Sr. No.	Genotype	RM6100	RM10313	Rf4 and Rf3	Sr. No.	Genotype	RM6100	RM10313	Rf4 and Rf3
57	GSR-34	No	No	No	87	TCP-10246	No	Rf3	Rf3
58	GSR-40	No	Rf3	Rf3	88	SV-315-081R	Rf4	Rf3	Rf3/Rf4
59	IET-24151	Rf4	Rf3	Rf3/Rf4	89	RPHR-1004	Rf4	Rf3	Rf3/Rf4
60	IET-24342	Rf4	Rf3	Rf3/Rf4	90	ABU-10-82R	Rf4	Rf3	Rf3/Rf4
61	IET-24356	Rf4	Rf3	Rf3/Rf4	91	RPHR-517	No	Rf3	Rf3
62	IET-24297	No	No	No	92	SG 26-120	Rf4	No	Rf4
63	NDR359(NC)	No	Rf3	Rf3	93	Akshayadhan	Rf4	Rf3	Rf3/Rf4
64	RP5715-323-3-1-1	No	Rf3	Rf3	94	KMP-175	Rf4	Rf3	Rf3/Rf4
65	IET-23227	No	Rf3	Rf3	95	BI-33	No	No	No
66	RTN 605-111-1-2	Rf4	Rf3	Rf3/Rf4	96	KMP-153	No	No	No
67	PAU 3835-12-1-1-2	Rf4	Rf3	Rf3/Rf4	97	KMP-128	Rf4	Rf3	Rf3/Rf4
68	Culture kaumk 157	No	Rf3	Rf3	98	RNR-20110	Rf4	No	Rf4
69	NDR3308	Rf4	Rf3	Rf3/Rf4	99	RNR-20115	Rf4	No	Rf4
70	UPR-3841-3-2-1	No	No	No	100	RNR-20595	Rf4	H	Rf4, Rf3(H)
71	CRR 484-2-1-1-1-1	No	Rf3	Rf3	101	RNR-20601	No	H	Rf3(H)
72	RP5892-32-9-5-4-3-2	No	Rf3	Rf3	102	RNR-20611	Rf4	H	Rf4, Rf3(H)
73	HKR 10-34	No	Rf3	Rf3	103	RNR-20710	No	H	Rf3(H)
74	R1641-914-1-400-1	Rf4	Rf3	Rf3/Rf4	104	RNR-20715	No	H	Rf3(H)
75	RP 5883-IR-83142-B-57-B	No	Rf3	Rf3	117	RNR-20819	Rf4	No	Rf4
76	KPH-466	Rf4	No	Rf4	118	RNR-20824	Rf4	Rf3	Rf3/Rf4
77	HRR 08-29	Rf4	Rf3	Rf3/Rf4	119	RNR-20829	Rf4	H	Rf4,Rf3(H)
78	RP 4978-60-3-2-2	Rf4	Rf3	Rf3/Rf4	120	RNR-20831	No	Rf3	Rf3
79	HKR 09-104	No	Rf3	Rf3	121	RNR-20847	No	Rf3	Rf3
80	CR2274-2-3-3-1	Rf4	Rf3	Rf3/Rf4	122	RNR-20879	No	H	Rf3(H)
81	AAGP9772	Rf4	Rf3	Rf3/Rf4	123	RNR-21042	No	Rf3	Rf3
82	AYT-21	Rf4	No	Rf4	124	Anjali	Rf4	Rf3	Rf3/Rf4
83	L2-182	Rf4	Rf3	Rf3/Rf4	125	Vandana	Rf4	Rf3	Rf3/Rf4
84	SVHR-3005	Rf4	Rf3	Rf3/Rf4	C	MTU-1010	No	Rf3	Rf3
85	NH12-144X	No	No	No	C	CRdhan-201	Rf4	Rf3	Rf3/Rf4
86	NH12-103R	Rf4	Rf3	Rf3/Rf4	C	MAS-946	No	Rf3	Rf3

[MS received : July 27, 2017]

[MS accepted : August 12, 2017]